

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/219,195	LEE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Franklin D. Altman	2652	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Franklin D. Altman. (3)_____
- (2) Abdy Raissinia. (4)_____

Date of Interview: 01 March 2001 .

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: .

Claim(s) discussed: _____ .

Identification of prior art discussed: .

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

- i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Telephoned Applicant to reiterate the discussion on 2/23/2001 to indicate that the amendment filed on 2/26/2001 would be treated as an After Final amendment. Applicant had telephoned and briefly spoke to examiner to request a formal interview on 2/21/2001 and indicated that Applicant had a proposed amendment, which was informally faxed. Examiner responded the informal fax on 2/23/2001, by briefly telephoning Applicant to indicate that a Final Action had been sent on 2/14/2001 and that the proposed amendment, if entered, requires significant additional consideration and search beyond the prior art of the existing rejections, the proposed amendment raises significant new issues requiring further search and consideration, that if Applicant submitted a formal after final amendment, an advisory action would be sent in response to the admendment to that effect and Applicant could then file a further CPA to obtain entry of the amendment and the further search and consideration necessitated thereby.